



## Scrutiny Topic Registration Form

- \* Proposed topic: Central Provision of Youth Clubs to Wards
- \* Councillor registering the topic: Watt - Councillor Joe Watt

Submitted due to an unresolved 'Cllr Call for Action' enquiry

Please complete this section as thoroughly as you can. The information provided will help Scrutiny Officers and Scrutiny Members to assess the following key elements to the success of any scrutiny review:

- How** a review should best be undertaken given the subject
- Who** needs to be involved
- What** should be looked at
- By when** it should be achieved; and
- Why we are doing it ?**

Please describe how the proposed topic fits with 3 of the eligibility criteria attached.

	Yes?	Policy Development & Review	Service Improvement & Delivery	Accountability of Executive Decisions
Public Interest (ie. in terms of both proposals being in the public interest and resident perceptions)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Under Performance / Service Dissatisfaction	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
In keeping with corporate priorities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Level of Risk	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Service Efficiency	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
National/local/regional significance e.g. A central government priority area, concerns joint working arrangements at a local 'York' or wider regional context	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**\* Set out briefly the purpose of any scrutiny review of your proposed topic. What do you think it should achieve?**

The provision of Youth Clubs and related activity in some wards is dependant upon Ward Funding. Whereas, other wards - particularly those in the central areas of York, have Youth Club provision provided without the need for ward funding. As an example, the Skelton, Rawcliffe & Clifton Without Ward Committee regularly spends approximately 40% of its budget on Youth Clubs and services, despite these being poorly attended. This detracts from the community benefit of ward funding. A scrutiny review should aim to achieve a more equitable provision of youth services across the City of York - Youth Club provision in particular - without dependency on major shares of ward budgets.

**\* Please explain briefly what you think any scrutiny review of your proposed topic should cover.**

1. Youth Club provision by ward.
2. Youth drop-in provision.
3. Attendance levels at Youth Clubs and Drop-In sessions.
4. Locations for Youth Clubs and Drop-In Sessions.
5. The fairness of provision across the City and within wards.
6. The fairness of the current funding - in particular - the expectation that some wards will contribute an exceptionally large proportion of their annual budget to Youth Clubs.

**\* Please indicate which other Councils, partners or external services could, in your opinion, participate in the review, saying why.**

All 3 parish Councils in Skelton, Rawcliffe & Clifton Without would welcome the opportunity to contribute to any review.

**\* Explain briefly how, in your opinion, such a review might be most efficiently undertaken?**

As a task for the Learning & Culture Overview & Scrutiny Committee

Estimate the timescale for completion.

- 1-3 months
- 3-6 months
- 6-9 months

**Support documents or other useful information:** None

Date submitted: Friday, 18th June, 2010, 11.51 am

Submitted by: Councillor Joe Watt